

30th October 2016

Name [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED] BURNLEY RD

My address is [REDACTED] SHOP in relation to the premises

Application no.....CP 4189 Shabaz Alam Ahmed

Proposal to open a licensed Premier Convenience Store 801 Burnley Road Crawshawbooth BB4 8BW

As a resident close to the proposed licensed premises, I appreciate and understand the need for representation to meet particular criteria under one of four relevant headings: public nuisance, prevention of crime and disorder, protection of children, and public safety.

That being so, I wish to raise objections under each of those four headings.

1. Public nuisance

- a) Local residents will be inconvenienced by deliveries, as is the case for residents living in other, nearby areas; delivery drivers being exempt from the usual rules when dropping their loads, the double yellow lines installed for reasons of public safety (q.v.) will be ignored causing hazard.
- b) Whilst I understand that the proposed hours of opening, seven days a week are not uncommon nowadays, the fact remains that the premises lie in a residential area.
- c) The ATM which it is proposed will be installed on the northwest corner of the premises will encourage use 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, with attendant parking and nuisance issues.
- d) The proposal will exacerbate the existing littering problem: residents have previously reported litter being dumped in their gardens by customers of the Pleasant View some 250 metres to the south who, having consumed their purchases, deposit their rubbish in an anti-social manner.
- e) The proposal will exacerbate the existing issue of youths congregating in the ginnel behind premises to consume alcohol, leaving detritus in their wake.

2. Prevention of crime and disorder

- a) Extending the previous point, the proposal will exacerbate the existing issue of youths congregating in the ginnel behind premises to consume alcohol, many of whom residents judge to be under-age.
- b) The promise to challenge those who appear under-age is unenforceable.

3. Protection of children

- a) Experience elsewhere in the borough indicates that the shop will prove a magnet for youngsters to congregate, obstructing pavements.
- b) The interests of toddlers and parents attending the recently opened nursery must be protected, the pavements being narrow on Goodshaw Avenue.

4. Public safety

- a) The pavements on Goodshaw Avenue approaching the T junction are very narrow.
- b) The T-junction itself is hazardous which is why, presumably, there are double yellow lines.
- c) Sightlines exiting Goodshaw Avenue are poor.
- d) The 40>30 mph limit is disregarded by some motorists.

I base this on fact and experience rather than pure speculation and draw these facts to your attention for your consideration and judgment

Signature [REDACTED]

Date... 31/10/2016

The remaining 71 representations are identical to the one above but have been received from various residents.