

MIQ response 310719 from United Utilities (rep 5168) re Matter 16 (policy ENV9)

From: Brennan, Adam
Sent: 31 July 2019 15:53
To: Tony Blackburn
Subject: RE: UU response - Local Plan Examination

Tony

I would however like to add the following comments in support of what is submitted in the representation [of October 2018, representation number 5168]. It is highlighted in the response to Policy ENV9 that the policy states surface water may enter the foul sewer. This is not correct and will not be accepted in practice by United Utilities, as it conflicts with Section 106 of the 1991 Water Industry Act (as amended). To support what we have mentioned, please see the relevant part of Section 106 below. It is felt that it is important that this element of the policy is removed as a minimum. I've highlighted for ease of reference.

Section 106 of 1991 Water Industry Act (as amended):

(2) Subject to the provisions of Chapter III of this Part, nothing in subsection (1) above shall entitle any person—

(a) to discharge directly or indirectly into any public sewer—

- (i) any liquid from a factory, other than domestic sewage or surface or storm water, or any liquid from a manufacturing process; or
- (ii) any liquid or other matter the discharge of which into public sewers is prohibited by or under any enactment; or

(b) where separate public sewers are provided for foul water and for surface water, to discharge directly or indirectly—

- (i) foul water into a sewer provided for surface water; or
- (ii) except with the approval of the undertaker, surface water into a sewer provided for foul water; or

Happy to go forward with our pre-submission response that's been submitted in October 2018. The above email however, should be used in support when Matter 16 is discussed. I can confirm that I won't be attending the hearing.

Regards,

Adam Brennan

Planner

Developer Services & Metering

Network Delivery

United Utilities

T:

M:

unitedutilities.com